

$$\exp(\partial) = \sigma$$

BY VINCENT BAGAYOKO (IMJ-PRG)

Joint work with L. S. KRAPP, S. KUHLMANN, D. C. PANAZZOLO & M. SERRA

Question:

$$a \cdot b = b \cdot a \quad ?$$

Question:

$$a \cdot b = b \cdot a \quad ?$$

Answer: you are *wrong*.

Let \mathcal{A} be the \mathbb{C} -algebra of entire functions. For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, f(z + \alpha) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{n!} \alpha^n.$$

Let \mathcal{A} be the \mathbb{C} -algebra of entire functions. For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, f(z + \alpha) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{n!} \alpha^n.$$

We have an automorphism $\sigma_\alpha: g \mapsto g \circ (\text{id} + \alpha)$ of \mathcal{A} and a derivation $\partial_\alpha: g \mapsto \alpha g'$ on \mathcal{A} , and

Let \mathcal{A} be the \mathbb{C} -algebra of entire functions. For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, f(z + \alpha) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{n!} \alpha^n.$$

We have an automorphism $\sigma_\alpha: g \mapsto g \circ (\text{id} + \alpha)$ of \mathcal{A} and a derivation $\partial_\alpha: g \mapsto \alpha g'$ on \mathcal{A} , and

$$\sigma_\alpha = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\partial_\alpha^{[n]}}{n!} = \exp(\partial_\alpha).$$

Let \mathcal{A} be the \mathbb{C} -algebra of entire functions. For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, f(z + \alpha) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{n!} \alpha^n.$$

We have an automorphism $\sigma_\alpha: g \mapsto g \circ (\text{id} + \alpha)$ of \mathcal{A} and a derivation $\partial_\alpha: g \mapsto \alpha g'$ on \mathcal{A} , and

$$\sigma_\alpha = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\partial_\alpha^{[n]}}{n!} = \exp(\partial_\alpha).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, \exp(\partial_\alpha + \partial_\beta) = \exp(\partial_{\alpha+\beta}) = \sigma_{\alpha+\beta} = \sigma_\alpha \circ \sigma_\beta.$$

Let \mathcal{A} be the \mathbb{C} -algebra of entire functions. For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, f(z + \alpha) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{n!} \alpha^n.$$

We have an automorphism $\sigma_\alpha: g \mapsto g \circ (\text{id} + \alpha)$ of \mathcal{A} and a derivation $\partial_\alpha: g \mapsto \alpha g'$ on \mathcal{A} , and

$$\sigma_\alpha = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\partial_\alpha^{[n]}}{n!} = \exp(\partial_\alpha).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, \exp(\partial_\alpha + \partial_\beta) = \exp(\partial_{\alpha+\beta}) = \sigma_{\alpha+\beta} = \sigma_\alpha \circ \sigma_\beta.$$

The same applies for the algebra $\mathbb{C}[[x]] \supset \mathcal{A}$ of formal power series.

Fix a field k with $\text{char}(k) = 0$. Given an algebra A and an endomorphism $\phi: A \rightarrow A$, we want to make sense of the exponential

$$\exp(\phi) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \phi^{[n]}$$

and logarithm

$$\log(\text{Id} + \phi) = \sum_{n > 0} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n!} \phi^{[n]}.$$

Fix a field k with $\text{char}(k) = 0$. Given an algebra A and an endomorphism $\phi: A \rightarrow A$, we want to make sense of the exponential

$$\exp(\phi) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \phi^{[n]}$$

and logarithm

$$\log(\text{Id} + \phi) = \sum_{n > 0} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n!} \phi^{[n]}.$$

→ bijective correspondence between derivations and automorphisms?

→ interactions with the algebraic structures on derivations and automorphisms?

Fix a field k with $\text{char}(k) = 0$. Given an algebra A and an endomorphism $\phi: A \rightarrow A$, we want to make sense of the exponential

$$\exp(\phi) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \phi^{[n]}$$

and logarithm

$$\log(\text{Id} + \phi) = \sum_{n > 0} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n!} \phi^{[n]}.$$

→ bijective correspondence between derivations and automorphisms?

→ interactions with the algebraic structures on derivations and automorphisms?

Ideas:

- In finite dimensional Lie group theory: notions of convergence, e.g. taking exponentials of matrices.
- On fields of generalised power series (e.g. Hahn series): notions of summability → formal axiomatic approach?

Ideal context: an algebra \mathcal{A} with a notion of infinite sum such that the formal power series

$$\exp(X) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} X^n \quad \text{and} \quad \log(1 + X) := \sum_{n > 0} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} X^n$$

can be evaluated on \mathcal{A} , and satisfy

$$\log(\exp(a)) = a \quad \text{and} \quad \exp(\log(1 + a)) = 1 + a$$

whenever the expressions are defined.

Ideal context: an algebra \mathcal{A} with a notion of infinite sum such that the formal power series

$$\exp(X) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} X^n \quad \text{and} \quad \log(1 + X) := \sum_{n > 0} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} X^n$$

can be evaluated on \mathcal{A} , and satisfy

$$\log(\exp(a)) = a \quad \text{and} \quad \exp(\log(1 + a)) = 1 + a$$

whenever the expressions are defined.

Furthermore \mathcal{A} should be an algebra of linear maps on another algebra A , such that

$$\exp(\mathcal{A} \cap \text{Der}(A)) = \mathcal{A} \cap \text{Aut}(A).$$

Fix a vector space V over k . For each set I , we have a vector space V^I .

Fix a vector space V over k . For each set I , we have a vector space V^I .

For $\mathbf{v} \in V^I$, write $\text{supp } \mathbf{v} := \{i \in I : \mathbf{v}(i) \neq 0\}$. We have a subspace

$$V^{(I)} := \{\mathbf{v} \in V : \text{supp } \mathbf{v} \text{ is finite}\},$$

Fix a vector space V over k . For each set I , we have a vector space V^I .

For $\mathbf{v} \in V^I$, write $\text{supp } \mathbf{v} := \{i \in I : \mathbf{v}(i) \neq 0\}$. We have a subspace

$$V^{(I)} := \{\mathbf{v} \in V^I : \text{supp } \mathbf{v} \text{ is finite}\},$$

and a linear summation operator

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_I^{\text{fin}} : V^{(I)} &\longrightarrow V \\ \mathbf{v} &\longmapsto \sum_{i \in \text{supp } \mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v}(i). \end{aligned}$$

What are the properties of the family $(\Sigma_I^{\text{fin}})_{I \in \text{Set}}$?

Let I, J be sets and let $v \in V^{(I)}$.

Let I, J be sets and let $\mathbf{v} \in V^{(I)}$.

Invariance under reindexing. If $\varphi: J \rightarrow I$ is bijective, then $\mathbf{v} \circ \varphi \in V^{(J)}$ and

$$\Sigma_J^{\text{fin}}(\mathbf{v} \circ \varphi) = \Sigma_I^{\text{fin}} \mathbf{v}.$$

Let I, J be sets and let $\mathbf{v} \in V^{(I)}$.

Invariance under reindexing. If $\varphi: J \rightarrow I$ is bijective, then $\mathbf{v} \circ \varphi \in V^{(J)}$ and

$$\Sigma_J^{\text{fin}}(\mathbf{v} \circ \varphi) = \Sigma_I^{\text{fin}} \mathbf{v}.$$

Summation by parts. If $I = \bigsqcup_{j \in J} I_j$, then for each $j \in J$, we have

$$\mathbf{v}_j := \mathbf{v} \upharpoonright I_j \in V^{(I_j)} \quad \text{and} \quad (\Sigma_{I_j}^{\text{fin}} \mathbf{v}_j)_{j \in J} \in V^{(I)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_J^{\text{fin}}(\Sigma_{I_j}^{\text{fin}} \mathbf{v}_j)_{j \in J} = \Sigma_I^{\text{fin}} \mathbf{v}.$$

Let I, J be sets and let $\mathbf{v} \in V^{(I)}$.

Invariance under reindexing. If $\varphi: J \rightarrow I$ is bijective, then $\mathbf{v} \circ \varphi \in V^{(J)}$ and

$$\Sigma_J^{\text{fin}}(\mathbf{v} \circ \varphi) = \Sigma_I^{\text{fin}} \mathbf{v}.$$

Summation by parts. If $I = \bigsqcup_{j \in J} I_j$, then for each $j \in J$, we have

$$\mathbf{v}_j := \mathbf{v} \upharpoonright I_j \in V^{(I_j)} \quad \text{and} \quad (\Sigma_{I_j}^{\text{fin}} \mathbf{v}_j)_{j \in J} \in V^{(I)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_J^{\text{fin}}(\Sigma_{I_j}^{\text{fin}} \mathbf{v}_j)_{j \in J} = \Sigma_I^{\text{fin}} \mathbf{v}.$$

Finite pasting. If $I \cap J = \emptyset$ and $\mathbf{w} \in V^{(J)}$, then $\mathbf{v} \sqcup \mathbf{w} \in V^{(I \sqcup J)}$.

Let I, J be sets and let $\mathbf{v} \in V^{(I)}$.

Invariance under reindexing. If $\varphi: J \rightarrow I$ is bijective, then $\mathbf{v} \circ \varphi \in V^{(J)}$ and

$$\Sigma_J^{\text{fin}}(\mathbf{v} \circ \varphi) = \Sigma_I^{\text{fin}} \mathbf{v}.$$

Summation by parts. If $I = \bigsqcup_{j \in J} I_j$, then for each $j \in J$, we have

$$\mathbf{v}_j := \mathbf{v} \upharpoonright I_j \in V^{(I_j)} \quad \text{and} \quad (\Sigma_{I_j}^{\text{fin}} \mathbf{v}_j)_{j \in J} \in V^{(J)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_J^{\text{fin}}(\Sigma_{I_j}^{\text{fin}} \mathbf{v}_j)_{j \in J} = \Sigma_I^{\text{fin}} \mathbf{v}.$$

Finite pasting. If $I \cap J = \emptyset$ and $\mathbf{w} \in V^{(J)}$, then $\mathbf{v} \sqcup \mathbf{w} \in V^{(I \sqcup J)}$.

Ultrafiniteness. If $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of functions $f_i: \text{dom } f_i \rightarrow k$ with finite domains $\text{dom } f_i$, then writing

$$I' := \{(i, x) : i \in I \wedge x \in \text{dom } f_i\},$$

we have

$$(f_i(x) \mathbf{v}(i))_{(i,x) \in I'} \in V^{(I')}.$$

Summability structure: family $(\Sigma_I)_{I \in \mathbf{Set}}$ of linear operators $\Sigma_I : \text{dom } \Sigma_I \longrightarrow V$, where $V^{(I)} \subseteq \text{dom } \Sigma_I \subseteq V^I$ is a subspace, Σ_I extends Σ_I^{fin} on $\text{dom } \Sigma_I$, and:

Summability structure: family $(\Sigma_I)_{I \in \mathbf{Set}}$ of linear operators $\Sigma_I : \text{dom } \Sigma_I \longrightarrow V$, where $V^{(I)} \subseteq \text{dom } \Sigma_I \subseteq V^I$ is a subspace, Σ_I extends Σ_I^{fin} on $\text{dom } \Sigma_I$, and:

Invariance under reindexing. If $\varphi : J \longrightarrow I$ is bijective, then $\mathbf{v} \circ \varphi \in \text{dom } \Sigma_J$ and

$$\Sigma_J(\mathbf{v} \circ \varphi) = \Sigma_I \mathbf{v}.$$

Summability structure: family $(\Sigma_I)_{I \in \mathbf{Set}}$ of linear operators $\Sigma_I : \text{dom } \Sigma_I \longrightarrow V$, where $V^{(I)} \subseteq \text{dom } \Sigma_I \subseteq V^I$ is a subspace, Σ_I extends Σ_I^{fin} on $\text{dom } \Sigma_I$, and:

Invariance under reindexing. If $\varphi : J \longrightarrow I$ is bijective, then $\mathbf{v} \circ \varphi \in \text{dom } \Sigma_J$ and

$$\Sigma_J(\mathbf{v} \circ \varphi) = \Sigma_I \mathbf{v}.$$

Summation by parts. If $I = \bigsqcup_{j \in J} I_j$, then for each $j \in J$, we have

$$\mathbf{v}_j := \mathbf{v} \upharpoonright I_j \in \text{dom } \Sigma_{I_j} \quad \text{and} \quad (\Sigma_{I_j}^{\text{fin}} \mathbf{v}_j)_{j \in J} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_J(\Sigma_{I_j} \mathbf{v}_j)_{j \in J} = \Sigma_I \mathbf{v}.$$

Summability structure: family $(\Sigma_I)_{I \in \mathbf{Set}}$ of linear operators $\Sigma_I : \text{dom } \Sigma_I \longrightarrow V$, where $V^{(I)} \subseteq \text{dom } \Sigma_I \subseteq V^I$ is a subspace, Σ_I extends Σ_I^{fin} on $\text{dom } \Sigma_I$, and:

Invariance under reindexing. If $\varphi : J \longrightarrow I$ is bijective, then $\mathbf{v} \circ \varphi \in \text{dom } \Sigma_J$ and

$$\Sigma_J(\mathbf{v} \circ \varphi) = \Sigma_I \mathbf{v}.$$

Summation by parts. If $I = \bigsqcup_{j \in J} I_j$, then for each $j \in J$, we have

$$\mathbf{v}_j := \mathbf{v} \upharpoonright I_j \in \text{dom } \Sigma_{I_j} \quad \text{and} \quad (\Sigma_{I_j}^{\text{fin}} \mathbf{v}_j)_{j \in J} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_J(\Sigma_{I_j} \mathbf{v}_j)_{j \in J} = \Sigma_I \mathbf{v}.$$

Finite pasting. If $I \cap J = \emptyset$ and $\mathbf{w} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_J$, then $\mathbf{v} \sqcup \mathbf{w} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_{I \sqcup J}$.

Summability structure: family $(\Sigma_I)_{I \in \mathbf{Set}}$ of linear operators $\Sigma_I : \text{dom } \Sigma_I \longrightarrow V$, where $V^{(I)} \subseteq \text{dom } \Sigma_I \subseteq V^I$ is a subspace, Σ_I extends Σ_I^{fin} on $\text{dom } \Sigma_I$, and:

Invariance under reindexing. If $\varphi : J \longrightarrow I$ is bijective, then $\mathbf{v} \circ \varphi \in \text{dom } \Sigma_J$ and

$$\Sigma_J(\mathbf{v} \circ \varphi) = \Sigma_I \mathbf{v}.$$

Summation by parts. If $I = \bigsqcup_{j \in J} I_j$, then for each $j \in J$, we have

$$\mathbf{v}_j := \mathbf{v} \upharpoonright I_j \in \text{dom } \Sigma_{I_j} \quad \text{and} \quad (\Sigma_{I_j}^{\text{fin}} \mathbf{v}_j)_{j \in J} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_J(\Sigma_{I_j} \mathbf{v}_j)_{j \in J} = \Sigma_I \mathbf{v}.$$

Finite pasting. If $I \cap J = \emptyset$ and $\mathbf{w} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_J$, then $\mathbf{v} \sqcup \mathbf{w} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_{I \sqcup J}$.

Ultrafiniteness. If $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of functions $f_i : \text{dom } f_i \longrightarrow k$ with finite domains $\text{dom } f_i$, then writing

$$I' := \{(i, x) : i \in I \wedge x \in \text{dom } f_i\},$$

we have

$$(f_i(x) \mathbf{v}(i))_{(i,x) \in I'} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_{I'}.$$

Summability structure: family $(\Sigma_I)_{I \in \mathbf{Set}}$ of linear operators $\Sigma_I : \text{dom } \Sigma_I \longrightarrow V$, where $V^{(I)} \subseteq \text{dom } \Sigma_I \subseteq V^I$ is a subspace, Σ_I extends Σ_I^{fin} on $\text{dom } \Sigma_I$, and:

Invariance under reindexing. If $\varphi : J \longrightarrow I$ is bijective, then $\mathbf{v} \circ \varphi \in \text{dom } \Sigma_J$ and

$$\Sigma_J(\mathbf{v} \circ \varphi) = \Sigma_I \mathbf{v}.$$

Summation by parts. If $I = \bigsqcup_{j \in J} I_j$, then for each $j \in J$, we have

$$\mathbf{v}_j := \mathbf{v} \upharpoonright I_j \in \text{dom } \Sigma_{I_j} \quad \text{and} \quad (\Sigma_{I_j}^{\text{fin}} \mathbf{v}_j)_{j \in J} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_J(\Sigma_{I_j} \mathbf{v}_j)_{j \in J} = \Sigma_I \mathbf{v}.$$

Finite pasting. If $I \cap J = \emptyset$ and $\mathbf{w} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_J$, then $\mathbf{v} \sqcup \mathbf{w} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_{I \sqcup J}$.

Ultrafiniteness. If $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of functions $f_i : \text{dom } f_i \longrightarrow k$ with finite domains $\text{dom } f_i$, then writing

$$I' := \{(i, x) : i \in I \wedge x \in \text{dom } f_i\},$$

we have

$$(f_i(x) \mathbf{v}(i))_{(i,x) \in I'} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_{I'}.$$

We call $(V, (\Sigma_I)_{I \in \mathbf{Set}})$ a **summability space**. For instance (V, Σ^{fin}) is a summability space.

1) (V, Σ) : summability space; Ω : non-empty set; \mathfrak{q} : ideal in the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ containing all finite subsets. We have a subspace $V[\mathfrak{q}] := \{v \in V^\Omega : \text{supp } v \in \mathfrak{q}\}$ of V^Ω .

I) (V, Σ) : summability space; Ω : non-empty set; \mathfrak{q} : ideal in the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ containing all finite subsets. We have a subspace $V[\mathfrak{q}] := \{v \in V^\Omega : \text{supp } v \in \mathfrak{q}\}$ of V^Ω .

We define a summability structure $\Sigma^{\mathfrak{q}}$ on $V[\mathfrak{q}]$ by setting $v \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I^{\mathfrak{q}}$ if and only if

$$\forall p \in \Omega, (v(i)(p))_{i \in I} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I, \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{supp } v(i) \in \mathfrak{q}.$$

I) (V, Σ) : summability space; Ω : non-empty set; \mathfrak{q} : ideal in the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ containing all finite subsets. We have a subspace $V[\mathfrak{q}] := \{v \in V^\Omega : \text{supp } v \in \mathfrak{q}\}$ of V^Ω .

We define a summability structure $\Sigma^{\mathfrak{q}}$ on $V[\mathfrak{q}]$ by setting $v \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I^{\mathfrak{q}}$ if and only if

$$\forall p \in \Omega, (v(i)(p))_{i \in I} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I, \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{supp } v(i) \in \mathfrak{q}.$$

$$\text{Then} \quad \forall p \in \Omega, (\Sigma_I^{\mathfrak{q}} v)(p) := \Sigma_I (v(i)(p))_{i \in I}.$$

I) (V, Σ) : summability space; Ω : non-empty set; \mathfrak{q} : ideal in the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ containing all finite subsets. We have a subspace $V[\mathfrak{q}] := \{v \in V^\Omega : \text{supp } v \in \mathfrak{q}\}$ of V^Ω .

We define a summability structure $\Sigma^{\mathfrak{q}}$ on $V[\mathfrak{q}]$ by setting $v \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I^{\mathfrak{q}}$ if and only if

$$\forall p \in \Omega, (v(i)(p))_{i \in I} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I, \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{supp } v(i) \in \mathfrak{q}.$$

$$\text{Then} \quad \forall p \in \Omega, (\Sigma_I^{\mathfrak{q}} v)(p) := \Sigma_I(v(i)(p))_{i \in I}.$$

II) Let A be an algebra, $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ a proper ideal with $\bigcap_{n > 0} \mathfrak{p}^n = \{0\}$. Assume that A is complete in the \mathfrak{p} -adic topology. We define a summability structure Σ on A by setting

I) (V, Σ) : summability space; Ω : non-empty set; \mathfrak{q} : ideal in the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ containing all finite subsets. We have a subspace $V[\mathfrak{q}] := \{\mathbf{v} \in V^\Omega : \text{supp } \mathbf{v} \in \mathfrak{q}\}$ of V^Ω .

We define a summability structure $\Sigma^{\mathfrak{q}}$ on $V[\mathfrak{q}]$ by setting $\mathbf{v} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I^{\mathfrak{q}}$ if and only if

$$\forall p \in \Omega, (\mathbf{v}(i)(p))_{i \in I} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I, \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{supp } \mathbf{v}(i) \in \mathfrak{q}.$$

$$\text{Then} \quad \forall p \in \Omega, (\Sigma_I^{\mathfrak{q}} \mathbf{v})(p) := \Sigma_I (\mathbf{v}(i)(p))_{i \in I}.$$

II) Let A be an algebra, $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ a proper ideal with $\bigcap_{n > 0} \mathfrak{p}^n = \{0\}$. Assume that A is complete in the \mathfrak{p} -adic topology. We define a summability structure Σ on A by setting

$$\text{dom } \Sigma_I := \{\mathbf{a} \in A^I : \forall n > 0, \{i \in I : \mathbf{a}(i) \notin \mathfrak{p}^n\} \text{ is finite}\},$$

and

$$\Sigma_I \mathbf{a} := \lim \left(\sum_{\mathbf{a}(i) \notin \mathfrak{p}^n} \mathbf{a}(i) \right)_{n > 0}.$$

I) (V, Σ) : summability space; Ω : non-empty set; \mathfrak{q} : ideal in the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ containing all finite subsets. We have a subspace $V[\mathfrak{q}] := \{\mathbf{v} \in V^\Omega : \text{supp } \mathbf{v} \in \mathfrak{q}\}$ of V^Ω .

We define a summability structure $\Sigma^{\mathfrak{q}}$ on $V[\mathfrak{q}]$ by setting $\mathbf{v} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I^{\mathfrak{q}}$ if and only if

$$\forall p \in \Omega, (\mathbf{v}(i)(p))_{i \in I} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I, \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{supp } \mathbf{v}(i) \in \mathfrak{q}.$$

$$\text{Then} \quad \forall p \in \Omega, (\Sigma_I^{\mathfrak{q}} \mathbf{v})(p) := \Sigma_I (\mathbf{v}(i)(p))_{i \in I}.$$

II) Let A be an algebra, $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ a proper ideal with $\bigcap_{n > 0} \mathfrak{p}^n = \{0\}$. Assume that A is complete in the \mathfrak{p} -adic topology. We define a summability structure Σ on A by setting

$$\text{dom } \Sigma_I := \{\mathbf{a} \in A^I : \forall n > 0, \{i \in I : \mathbf{a}(i) \notin \mathfrak{p}^n\} \text{ is finite}\},$$

and

$$\Sigma_I \mathbf{a} := \lim \left(\sum_{\mathbf{a}(i) \notin \mathfrak{p}^n} \mathbf{a}(i) \right)_{n > 0}.$$

III) The category of summability spaces with suitable morphisms is complete and cocomplete.

Strongly linear maps

Let (V, Σ) be a summability space. A linear map $\phi: V \longrightarrow V$ is said **strongly linear** if for all sets I and $\mathbf{v} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I$, we have $\phi \circ \mathbf{v} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I$ and

$$\Sigma_I(\phi \circ \mathbf{v}) = \phi(\Sigma_I \mathbf{v}).$$

Strongly linear maps

Let (V, Σ) be a summability space. A linear map $\phi: V \longrightarrow V$ is said **strongly linear** if for all sets I and $\mathbf{v} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I$, we have $\phi \circ \mathbf{v} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I$ and

$$\Sigma_I(\phi \circ \mathbf{v}) = \phi(\Sigma_I \mathbf{v}).$$

Example: almost everything*.

Strongly linear maps

Let (V, Σ) be a summability space. A linear map $\phi: V \rightarrow V$ is said **strongly linear** if for all sets I and $v \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I$, we have $\phi \circ v \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I$ and

$$\Sigma_I(\phi \circ v) = \phi(\Sigma_I v).$$

Example: almost everything*.

Summability structure Σ^{Lin} on the space $\text{Lin}^+(V)$ of strongly linear maps. Given $I \in \mathbf{Set}$:

Strongly linear maps

Let (V, Σ) be a summability space. A linear map $\phi: V \rightarrow V$ is said **strongly linear** if for all sets I and $\mathbf{v} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I$, we have $\phi \circ \mathbf{v} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I$ and

$$\Sigma_I(\phi \circ \mathbf{v}) = \phi(\Sigma_I \mathbf{v}).$$

Example: almost everything*.

Summability structure Σ^{Lin} on the space $\text{Lin}^+(V)$ of strongly linear maps. Given $I \in \mathbf{Set}$:

- $\text{dom } \Sigma_I^{\text{Lin}}$ is the set of families $\phi: I \rightarrow \text{Lin}(V)$ such that for all $J \in \mathbf{Set}$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_J$,

$$(\phi(i)(\mathbf{v}(j)))_{(i,j) \in I \times J} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_{I \times J}.$$

Strongly linear maps

Let (V, Σ) be a summability space. A linear map $\phi: V \rightarrow V$ is said **strongly linear** if for all sets I and $v \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I$, we have $\phi \circ v \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I$ and

$$\Sigma_I(\phi \circ v) = \phi(\Sigma_I v).$$

Example: almost everything*.

Summability structure Σ^{Lin} on the space $\text{Lin}^+(V)$ of strongly linear maps. Given $I \in \mathbf{Set}$:

- $\text{dom } \Sigma_I^{\text{Lin}}$ is the set of families $\phi: I \rightarrow \text{Lin}(V)$ such that for all $J \in \mathbf{Set}$ and $v \in \text{dom } \Sigma_J$,

$$(\phi(i)(v(j)))_{(i,j) \in I \times J} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_{I \times J}.$$

- For $\phi \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I^{\text{Lin}}$, define

$$\Sigma_I^{\text{Lin}} \phi := v \mapsto \Sigma_I(\phi(i)(v))_{i \in I}.$$

Definition: summability algebra

Let $(A, +, 0, \cdot, \cdot)$ be an algebra over k , and Σ a summability structure on $(A, +, 0, \cdot)$. Then (A, Σ) is a **summability algebra** if for all sets I, J and all $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I \times \text{dom } \Sigma_J$, we have

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} := (\mathbf{a}(i) \cdot \mathbf{b}(j))_{(i,j) \in I \times J} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_{I \times J},$$

and

$$\Sigma_{I \times J}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) = (\Sigma_I \mathbf{a}) \cdot (\Sigma_J \mathbf{b}).$$

Definition: summability algebra

Let $(A, +, 0, \cdot, \cdot)$ be an algebra over k , and Σ a summability structure on $(A, +, 0, \cdot)$. Then (A, Σ) is a **summability algebra** if for all sets I, J and all $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I \times \text{dom } \Sigma_J$, we have

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} := (\mathbf{a}(i) \cdot \mathbf{b}(j))_{(i,j) \in I \times J} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_{I \times J},$$

and

$$\Sigma_{I \times J}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) = (\Sigma_I \mathbf{a}) \cdot (\Sigma_J \mathbf{b}).$$

Examples:

Definition: summability algebra

Let $(A, +, 0, \cdot, \cdot)$ be an algebra over k , and Σ a summability structure on $(A, +, 0, \cdot)$. Then (A, Σ) is a **summability algebra** if for all sets I, J and all $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I \times \text{dom } \Sigma_J$, we have

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} := (\mathbf{a}(i) \cdot \mathbf{b}(j))_{(i,j) \in I \times J} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_{I \times J},$$

and

$$\Sigma_{I \times J}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) = (\Sigma_I \mathbf{a}) \cdot (\Sigma_J \mathbf{b}).$$

Examples:

- given a summability algebra (A, Σ) , a set $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ and an ideal \mathfrak{q} of $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ containing all finite subsets, the summability space $A[\mathfrak{q}]$ under pointwise product;

Definition: summability algebra

Let $(A, +, 0, \cdot, \cdot)$ be an algebra over k , and Σ a summability structure on $(A, +, 0, \cdot)$. Then (A, Σ) is a **summability algebra** if for all sets I, J and all $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I \times \text{dom } \Sigma_J$, we have

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} := (\mathbf{a}(i) \cdot \mathbf{b}(j))_{(i,j) \in I \times J} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_{I \times J},$$

and

$$\Sigma_{I \times J}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) = (\Sigma_I \mathbf{a}) \cdot (\Sigma_J \mathbf{b}).$$

Examples:

- given a summability algebra (A, Σ) , a set $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ and an ideal \mathfrak{q} of $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ containing all finite subsets, the summability space $A[\mathfrak{q}]$ under pointwise product;
- complete algebras for Hausdorff \mathfrak{p} -adic topologies;

Definition: summability algebra

Let $(A, +, 0, \cdot, \cdot)$ be an algebra over k , and Σ a summability structure on $(A, +, 0, \cdot)$. Then (A, Σ) is a **summability algebra** if for all sets I, J and all $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I \times \text{dom } \Sigma_J$, we have

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} := (\mathbf{a}(i) \cdot \mathbf{b}(j))_{(i,j) \in I \times J} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_{I \times J},$$

and

$$\Sigma_{I \times J}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) = (\Sigma_I \mathbf{a}) \cdot (\Sigma_J \mathbf{b}).$$

Examples:

- given a summability algebra (A, Σ) , a set $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ and an ideal \mathfrak{q} of $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ containing all finite subsets, the summability space $A[\mathfrak{q}]$ under pointwise product;
- complete algebras for Hausdorff \mathfrak{p} -adic topologies;
- given a summability space (V, Σ) , the summability space $\text{Lin}^+(V)$ under composition;

Definition: summability algebra

Let $(A, +, 0, \cdot, \cdot)$ be an algebra over k , and Σ a summability structure on $(A, +, 0, \cdot)$. Then (A, Σ) is a **summability algebra** if for all sets I, J and all $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I \times \text{dom } \Sigma_J$, we have

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} := (\mathbf{a}(i) \cdot \mathbf{b}(j))_{(i,j) \in I \times J} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_{I \times J},$$

and

$$\Sigma_{I \times J}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) = (\Sigma_I \mathbf{a}) \cdot (\Sigma_J \mathbf{b}).$$

Examples:

- given a summability algebra (A, Σ) , a set $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ and an ideal \mathfrak{q} of $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ containing all finite subsets, the summability space $A[\mathfrak{q}]$ under pointwise product;
- complete algebras for Hausdorff \mathfrak{p} -adic topologies;
- given a summability space (V, Σ) , the summability space $\text{Lin}^+(V)$ under composition;
- quotients of summability algebras by ideals which are closed under arbitrary sums.

Let (A, Σ) be a summability algebra. Write

$$\text{Der}^+(A) = \{\delta \in \text{Lin}^+(A) : \forall a, b \in A, \delta(a \cdot b) = \delta(a) \cdot b + a \cdot \delta(b)\}.$$

$$\text{Aut}^+(A) := \{\sigma \in \text{Lin}^+(A) \cap \text{GL}(A) : \forall a, b \in A, \sigma(a \cdot b) = \sigma(a) \cdot \sigma(b)\}.$$

Let (A, Σ) be a summability algebra. Write

$$\text{Der}^+(A) = \{\delta \in \text{Lin}^+(A) : \forall a, b \in A, \delta(a \cdot b) = \delta(a) \cdot b + a \cdot \delta(b)\}.$$

$$\text{Aut}^+(A) := \{\sigma \in \text{Lin}^+(A) \cap \text{GL}(A) : \forall a, b \in A, \sigma(a \cdot b) = \sigma(a) \cdot \sigma(b)\}.$$

$\text{Der}^+(A)$: Lie subalgebra of $\text{Lin}^+(A)$ which is closed under sums of summable families

$\text{Aut}^+(A)$: subgroup of the group of automorphisms of A .

Let (A, Σ) be a summability algebra. Write

$$\text{Der}^+(A) = \{\delta \in \text{Lin}^+(A) : \forall a, b \in A, \delta(a \cdot b) = \delta(a) \cdot b + a \cdot \delta(b)\}.$$

$$\text{Aut}^+(A) := \{\sigma \in \text{Lin}^+(A) \cap \text{GL}(A) : \forall a, b \in A, \sigma(a \cdot b) = \sigma(a) \cdot \sigma(b)\}.$$

$\text{Der}^+(A)$: Lie subalgebra of $\text{Lin}^+(A)$ which is closed under sums of summable families

$\text{Aut}^+(A)$: subgroup of the group of automorphisms of A .

We can now ask: does the exponential

$$\delta \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\delta^{[n]}}{n!}$$

define an isomorphism

$$(\text{Der}^+(A), +) \simeq (\text{Aut}^+(A), \circ) \quad ?$$

Finite words: Let $I \in \mathbf{Set}$. Write $I^* := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^n$ for the monoid of finite words (including the empty one \emptyset) over I under concatenation

$$(i_1, \dots, i_m) : (i_{m+1}, \dots, i_n) := (i_1, \dots, i_n).$$

Finite words: Let $I \in \mathbf{Set}$. Write $I^* := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^n$ for the monoid of finite words (including the empty one \emptyset) over I under concatenation

$$(i_1, \dots, i_m) : (i_{m+1}, \dots, i_n) := (i_1, \dots, i_n).$$

Formal series: Write $k \langle\langle I \rangle\rangle := k^{I^*} = k[\mathcal{P}(I^*)]$ with its summability structure. Writing $X_w = \mathbb{1}_{\{w\}}$ for each $w \in I^*$, the family $(P(w) X_w)_{w \in I^*}$ is summable with

$$P = \sum_{w \in I^*} P(w) X_w.$$

Finite words: Let $I \in \mathbf{Set}$. Write $I^* := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^n$ for the monoid of finite words (including the empty one \emptyset) over I under concatenation

$$(i_1, \dots, i_m) : (i_{m+1}, \dots, i_n) := (i_1, \dots, i_n).$$

Formal series: Write $k \langle\langle I \rangle\rangle := k^{I^*} = k[\mathcal{P}(I^*)]$ with its summability structure. Writing $X_w = \mathbb{1}_{\{w\}}$ for each $w \in I^*$, the family $(P(w) X_w)_{w \in I^*}$ is summable with

$$P = \sum_{w \in I^*} P(w) X_w.$$

We have a Cauchy product

$$P \cdot Q := \left(w \mapsto \sum_{u:v=w} P(u) Q(v) \right) = \sum_{w \in I^*} \left(\sum_{u:v=w} P(u) Q(v) \right) X_w.$$

Finite words: Let $I \in \mathbf{Set}$. Write $I^* := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^n$ for the monoid of finite words (including the empty one \emptyset) over I under concatenation

$$(i_1, \dots, i_m) : (i_{m+1}, \dots, i_n) := (i_1, \dots, i_n).$$

Formal series: Write $k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle := k^{I^*} = k[\mathcal{P}(I^*)]$ with its summability structure. Writing $X_w = \mathbb{1}_{\{w\}}$ for each $w \in I^*$, the family $(P(w) X_w)_{w \in I^*}$ is summable with

$$P = \sum_{w \in I^*} P(w) X_w.$$

We have a Cauchy product

$$P \cdot Q := \left(w \mapsto \sum_{u:v=w} P(u) Q(v) \right) = \sum_{w \in I^*} \left(\sum_{u:v=w} P(u) Q(v) \right) X_w.$$

Then $k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle$ is a unital summability algebra.

Summability algebras with evaluations

Let (A, Σ) be a unital summability algebra of the form $A = k + \mathfrak{m}$ where \mathfrak{m} is a (two-sided) proper ideal which is closed under arbitrary sums. Then A has **evaluations** if:

For all sets I and all families $\mathbf{a} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I$ with $\mathbf{a}(i) \in \mathfrak{m}$ for all $i \in I$, the family $(\mathbf{a}(i_1) \cdots \mathbf{a}(i_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N} \wedge (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n}$ is summable.

Summability algebras with evaluations

Let (A, Σ) be a unital summability algebra of the form $A = k + \mathfrak{m}$ where \mathfrak{m} is a (two-sided) proper ideal which is closed under arbitrary sums. Then A has **evaluations** if:

For all sets I and all families $\mathbf{a} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I$ with $\mathbf{a}(i) \in \mathfrak{m}$ for all $i \in I$, the family $(\mathbf{a}(i_1) \cdots \mathbf{a}(i_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N} \wedge (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n}$ is summable.

We can then define, for each such (I, \mathbf{a}) , a strongly linear evaluation morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_{\mathbf{a}} : k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle &\longrightarrow A \\ P &\longmapsto \sum_{w=(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^*} P(w) \mathbf{a}(i_1) \cdots \mathbf{a}(i_n). \end{aligned}$$

Summability algebras with evaluations

Let (A, Σ) be a unital summability algebra of the form $A = k + \mathfrak{m}$ where \mathfrak{m} is a (two-sided) proper ideal which is closed under arbitrary sums. Then A has **evaluations** if:

For all sets I and all families $\mathbf{a} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I$ with $\mathbf{a}(i) \in \mathfrak{m}$ for all $i \in I$, the family $(\mathbf{a}(i_1) \cdots \mathbf{a}(i_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N} \wedge (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n}$ is summable.

We can then define, for each such (I, \mathbf{a}) , a strongly linear evaluation morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_{\mathbf{a}}: k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle &\longrightarrow A \\ P &\longmapsto \sum_{w=(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^*} P(w) \mathbf{a}(i_1) \cdots \mathbf{a}(i_n). \end{aligned}$$

$k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle$ has evaluations. For $J \in \mathbf{Set}$, $\mathbf{Q}: J \longrightarrow k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle$ summable and $P \in k\langle\langle J \rangle\rangle$, we have

Summability algebras with evaluations

Let (A, Σ) be a unital summability algebra of the form $A = k + \mathfrak{m}$ where \mathfrak{m} is a (two-sided) proper ideal which is closed under arbitrary sums. Then A has **evaluations** if:

For all sets I and all families $\mathbf{a} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I$ with $\mathbf{a}(i) \in \mathfrak{m}$ for all $i \in I$, the family $(\mathbf{a}(i_1) \cdots \mathbf{a}(i_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N} \wedge (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n}$ is summable.

We can then define, for each such (I, \mathbf{a}) , a strongly linear evaluation morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_{\mathbf{a}}: k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle &\longrightarrow A \\ P &\longmapsto \sum_{w=(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^*} P(w) \mathbf{a}(i_1) \cdots \mathbf{a}(i_n). \end{aligned}$$

$k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle$ has evaluations. For $J \in \mathbf{Set}$, $\mathbf{Q}: J \longrightarrow k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle$ summable and $P \in k\langle\langle J \rangle\rangle$, we have

$$P[\mathbf{Q}[\mathbf{a}]] = (P[\mathbf{Q}])[\mathbf{a}].$$

Summability algebras with evaluations

Let (A, Σ) be a unital summability algebra of the form $A = k + \mathfrak{m}$ where \mathfrak{m} is a (two-sided) proper ideal which is closed under arbitrary sums. Then A has **evaluations** if:

For all sets I and all families $\mathbf{a} \in \text{dom } \Sigma_I$ with $\mathbf{a}(i) \in \mathfrak{m}$ for all $i \in I$, the family $(\mathbf{a}(i_1) \cdots \mathbf{a}(i_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N} \wedge (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^n}$ is summable.

We can then define, for each such (I, \mathbf{a}) , a strongly linear evaluation morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_{\mathbf{a}} : k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle &\longrightarrow A \\ P &\longmapsto \sum_{w=(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I^*} P(w) \mathbf{a}(i_1) \cdots \mathbf{a}(i_n). \end{aligned}$$

$k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle$ has evaluations. For $J \in \mathbf{Set}$, $\mathbf{Q} : J \longrightarrow k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle$ summable and $P \in k\langle\langle J \rangle\rangle$, we have

$$\text{ev}_{(\text{ev}_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{Q}(j)))_{j \in J}}(P) = \text{ev}_{\mathbf{a}}(\text{ev}_{\mathbf{Q}}(P)).$$

Let $A = \mathbb{C} + \mathfrak{m}$ have evaluations. Note that $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathbb{H} + \mathfrak{m}$.

Let $A = \mathbb{C} + \mathfrak{m}$ have evaluations. For $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ lying above $-\tau$, we have

$$j(\tau + \xi) = J_\tau(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{j^{(n)}(\tau)}{n!} \xi^n.$$

Let $A = \mathbb{C} + \mathfrak{m}$ have evaluations. For $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ lying above $-\tau$, we have

$$j(\tau + \xi) = J_\tau(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{j^{(n)}(\tau)}{n!} \xi^n.$$

Given a $\gamma \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have a $\Gamma_\tau \in \gamma \cdot \tau + z \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ with $\gamma \cdot (\tau + \xi) = \Gamma_\tau(\xi)$ for all such ξ .

Let $A = \mathbb{C} + \mathfrak{m}$ have evaluations. For $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ lying above $-\tau$, we have

$$j(\tau + \xi) = J_\tau(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{j^{(n)}(\tau)}{n!} \xi^n.$$

Given a $\gamma \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have a $\Gamma_\tau \in \gamma \cdot \tau + z \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ with $\gamma \cdot (\tau + \xi) = \Gamma_\tau(\xi)$ for all such ξ .

We have $j(\gamma \cdot (\tau + \xi)) = j(\tau + \xi)$ for all ξ , so $J_{\gamma \cdot \tau}[\Gamma_\tau - \gamma \cdot \tau] = J_\tau$ in $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$.

Let $A = \mathbb{C} + \mathfrak{m}$ have evaluations. For $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ lying above $-\tau$, we have

$$j(\tau + \xi) = J_\tau(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{j^{(n)}(\tau)}{n!} \xi^n.$$

Given a $\gamma \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have a $\Gamma_\tau \in \gamma \cdot \tau + z \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ with $\gamma \cdot (\tau + \xi) = \Gamma_\tau(\xi)$ for all such ξ .

We have $j(\gamma \cdot (\tau + \xi)) = j(\tau + \xi)$ for all ξ , so $J_{\gamma \cdot \tau}[\Gamma_\tau - \gamma \cdot \tau] = J_\tau$ in $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$.

For each $\phi \in \tau + \mathfrak{m}$, defining $\hat{j}(\phi) := J_\tau[\phi - \tau] \in A$, we can compute

Let $A = \mathbb{C} + \mathfrak{m}$ have evaluations. For $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ lying above $-\tau$, we have

$$j(\tau + \xi) = J_\tau(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{j^{(n)}(\tau)}{n!} \xi^n.$$

Given a $\gamma \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have a $\Gamma_\tau \in \gamma \cdot \tau + z \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ with $\gamma \cdot (\tau + \xi) = \Gamma_\tau(\xi)$ for all such ξ .

We have $j(\gamma \cdot (\tau + \xi)) = j(\tau + \xi)$ for all ξ , so $J_{\gamma \cdot \tau}[\Gamma_\tau - \gamma \cdot \tau] = J_\tau$ in $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$.

For each $\phi \in \tau + \mathfrak{m}$, defining $\hat{j}(\phi) := J_\tau[\phi - \tau] \in A$, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{j}(\gamma \cdot \phi) &= \hat{j}(\Gamma_\tau[\phi - \tau]) \\ &= J_{\gamma \cdot \tau}[\Gamma_\tau[\phi - \tau] - \gamma \cdot \tau] \\ &= J_{\gamma \cdot \tau}[(\Gamma_\tau - \gamma \cdot \tau)[\phi - \tau]] \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

$$= (J_{\gamma \cdot \tau}[\Gamma_\tau - \gamma \cdot \tau])[\phi - \tau] \tag{17}$$

$$= J_\tau[\phi - \tau] \tag{18}$$

$$= \hat{j}(\phi).$$

Let $A = \mathbb{C} + \mathfrak{m}$ have evaluations. For $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ lying above $-\tau$, we have

$$j(\tau + \xi) = J_\tau(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{j^{(n)}(\tau)}{n!} \xi^n.$$

Given a $\gamma \in \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have a $\Gamma_\tau \in \gamma \cdot \tau + z \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ with $\gamma \cdot (\tau + \xi) = \Gamma_\tau(\xi)$ for all such ξ .

We have $j(\gamma \cdot (\tau + \xi)) = j(\tau + \xi)$ for all ξ , so $J_{\gamma \cdot \tau}[\Gamma_\tau - \gamma \cdot \tau] = J_\tau$ in $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$.

For each $\phi \in \tau + \mathfrak{m}$, defining $\hat{j}(\phi) := J_\tau[\phi - \tau] \in A$, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{j}(\gamma \cdot \phi) &= \hat{j}(\Gamma_\tau[\phi - \tau]) \\ &= J_{\gamma \cdot \tau}[\Gamma_\tau[\phi - \tau] - \gamma \cdot \tau] \\ &= J_{\gamma \cdot \tau}[(\Gamma_\tau - \gamma \cdot \tau)[\phi - \tau]] \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

$$= (J_{\gamma \cdot \tau}[\Gamma_\tau - \gamma \cdot \tau])[\phi - \tau] \tag{20}$$

$$= J_\tau[\phi - \tau] \tag{21}$$

$$= \hat{j}(\phi).$$

We get a “modular function” \hat{j} on $\mathbb{H} + \mathfrak{m}$! (maybe)

Let $I = \{0, 1\}$. In $k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle$, we have formal series

$$\exp(X_i) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n!} X_i^n, i \in \{0, 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \log(1 + X_0) := \sum_{n > 0} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} X_0^n.$$

Let $I = \{0, 1\}$. In $k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle$, we have formal series

$$\exp(X_i) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n!} X_i^n, i \in \{0, 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \log(1 + X_0) := \sum_{n > 0} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} X_0^n.$$

Given a summability algebra (A, Σ) with evaluations, with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , define

$$\begin{aligned} \exp: \mathfrak{m} &\longrightarrow 1 + \mathfrak{m} \\ \varepsilon &\longmapsto \text{ev}_\varepsilon(\exp(X_0)) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n!} \varepsilon^n. \end{aligned}$$

Let $I = \{0, 1\}$. In $k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle$, we have formal series

$$\exp(X_i) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n!} X_i^n, i \in \{0, 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \log(1 + X_0) := \sum_{n > 0} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} X_0^n.$$

Given a summability algebra (A, Σ) with evaluations, with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , define

$$\begin{aligned} \exp: \mathfrak{m} &\longrightarrow 1 + \mathfrak{m} \\ \varepsilon &\longmapsto \text{ev}_\varepsilon(\exp(X_0)) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n!} \varepsilon^n. \end{aligned}$$

Routine computations give $\exp(\log(1 + X_0)) = 1 + X_0$ and $\log(\exp(X_0)) = X_0$. Thus \exp is bijective with inverse

$$\begin{aligned} \log: 1 + \mathfrak{m} &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{m} \\ 1 + \varepsilon &\longmapsto \text{ev}_\varepsilon(\log(1 + X_0)) = \sum_{n > 0} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \varepsilon^n. \end{aligned}$$

Less routine computations give that the series

$$X_0 * X_1 := \log(\exp(X_0) \cdot \exp(X_1)) \in k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle$$

is a sum of elements in the Lie subalgebra of $k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle_0$ generated by X_0 and X_1 .

Less routine computations give that the series

$$X_0 * X_1 := \log(\exp(X_0) \cdot \exp(X_1)) \in k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle$$

is a sum of elements in the Lie subalgebra of $k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle_0$ generated by X_0 and X_1 .

Define a group operation $* : \mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ by

$$\forall \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1 \in \mathfrak{m}, \varepsilon_0 * \varepsilon_1 := \text{ev}_{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1}(X_0 * X_1).$$

Less routine computations give that the series

$$X_0 * X_1 := \log(\exp(X_0) \cdot \exp(X_1)) \in k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle$$

is a sum of elements in the Lie subalgebra of $k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle_0$ generated by X_0 and X_1 .

Define a group operation $* : \mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ by

$$\forall \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1 \in \mathfrak{m}, \varepsilon_0 * \varepsilon_1 := \text{ev}_{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1}(X_0 * X_1).$$

By evaluation, we obtain that

- $\exp(\varepsilon_0) \cdot \exp(\varepsilon_1) = \exp(\varepsilon_0 * \varepsilon_1)$
- $\varepsilon_0 * \varepsilon_1$ is a sum of elements in the Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{m} generated by ε_0 and ε_1 (in particular $*$ preserves derivations).
- $\exp : (\mathfrak{m}, *) \longrightarrow (1 + \mathfrak{m}, \cdot)$ is an isomorphism.

Now assume that $\mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{m}$ is a summability algebra with evaluations, which is a subalgebra of $(\text{Lin}^+(A), +, \cdot, \circ)$ for a given summability algebra (A, Σ) .

Now assume that $k + \mathfrak{m}$ is a summability algebra with evaluations, which is a subalgebra of $(\text{Lin}^+(A), +, \cdot, \circ)$ for a given summability algebra (A, Σ) .

Theorem A

A $\delta \in \mathfrak{m}$ is a derivation on A if and only if $\exp(\delta)$ is an automorphism of A . Therefore

$$\exp : (\text{Der}^+(A) \cap \mathfrak{m}, *) \longrightarrow (\text{Aut}^+(A) \cap (1 + \mathfrak{m}), \circ)$$

is an isomorphism.

Now assume that $k + \mathfrak{m}$ is a summability algebra with evaluations, which is a subalgebra of $(\text{Lin}^+(A), +, \cdot, \circ)$ for a given summability algebra (A, Σ) .

Theorem A

A $\delta \in \mathfrak{m}$ is a derivation on A if and only if $\exp(\delta)$ is an automorphism of A . Therefore

$$\exp : (\text{Der}^+(A) \cap \mathfrak{m}, *) \longrightarrow (\text{Aut}^+(A) \cap (1 + \mathfrak{m}), \circ)$$

is an isomorphism.

As a corollary, the group $\text{Aut}^+(A) \cap (1 + \mathfrak{m})$ is divisible and torsion-free.

Now assume that $k + \mathfrak{m}$ is a summability algebra with evaluations, which is a subalgebra of $(\text{Lin}^+(A), +, \cdot, \circ)$ for a given summability algebra (A, Σ) .

Theorem A

A $\delta \in \mathfrak{m}$ is a derivation on A if and only if $\exp(\delta)$ is an automorphism of A . Therefore

$$\exp : (\text{Der}^+(A) \cap \mathfrak{m}, *) \longrightarrow (\text{Aut}^+(A) \cap (1 + \mathfrak{m}), \circ)$$

is an isomorphism.

As a corollary, the group $\text{Aut}^+(A) \cap (1 + \mathfrak{m})$ is divisible and torsion-free.

How can we find examples of such situations?

Let $(M, +, 0, <)$ be an ordered monoid. A subset of M is said **Noetherian** (or w.q.o) if it has no infinite antichain and no strictly decreasing infinite sequence.

Let $(M, +, 0, <)$ be an ordered monoid. A subset of M is said **Noetherian** (or w.q.o) if it has no infinite antichain and no strictly decreasing infinite sequence.

The set \mathfrak{n} of Noetherian subsets of M is an ideal of $\mathcal{P}(M)$. Thus $k((M)) := k[\mathfrak{n}]$ has a natural structure of summability space.

Let $(M, +, 0, <)$ be an ordered monoid. A subset of M is said **Noetherian** (or w.q.o) if it has no infinite antichain and no strictly decreasing infinite sequence.

The set \mathfrak{n} of Noetherian subsets of M is an ideal of $\mathcal{P}(M)$. Thus $k((M)) := k[\mathfrak{n}]$ has a natural structure of summability space.

This is a summability algebra under the expected Cauchy product

$$\forall m \in M, (a \cdot b)(m) = \sum_{m_0 + m_1 = m} a(m_0) b(m_1).$$

Let $(M, +, 0, <)$ be an ordered monoid. A subset of M is said **Noetherian** (or w.q.o) if it has no infinite antichain and no strictly decreasing infinite sequence.

The set \mathfrak{n} of Noetherian subsets of M is an ideal of $\mathcal{P}(M)$. Thus $k((M)) := k[\mathfrak{n}]$ has a natural structure of summability space.

This is a summability algebra under the expected Cauchy product

$$\forall m \in M, (a \cdot b)(m) = \sum_{m_0 + m_1 = m} a(m_0) b(m_1).$$

Examples

- If M is a totally ordered group, then $k((M))$ is a skew field (e.g. Hahn field if M is Abelian).

Let $(M, +, 0, <)$ be an ordered monoid. A subset of M is said **Noetherian** (or w.q.o) if it has no infinite antichain and no strictly decreasing infinite sequence.

The set \mathfrak{n} of Noetherian subsets of M is an ideal of $\mathcal{P}(M)$. Thus $k((M)) := k[\mathfrak{n}]$ has a natural structure of summability space.

This is a summability algebra under the expected Cauchy product

$$\forall m \in M, (a \cdot b)(m) = \sum_{m_0 + m_1 = m} a(m_0) b(m_1).$$

Examples

- If M is a totally ordered group, then $k((M))$ is a skew field (e.g. Hahn field if M is Abelian).
- If $M = (\mathbb{N}, +, 0, <)^n$, then $k((M)) \simeq k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$.

Let $(M, +, 0, <)$ be an ordered monoid. A subset of M is said **Noetherian** (or w.q.o) if it has no infinite antichain and no strictly decreasing infinite sequence.

The set \mathfrak{n} of Noetherian subsets of M is an ideal of $\mathcal{P}(M)$. Thus $k((M)) := k[\mathfrak{n}]$ has a natural structure of summability space.

This is a summability algebra under the expected Cauchy product

$$\forall m \in M, (a \cdot b)(m) = \sum_{m_0 + m_1 = m} a(m_0) b(m_1).$$

Examples

- If M is a totally ordered group, then $k((M))$ is a skew field (e.g. Hahn field if M is Abelian).
- If $M = (\mathbb{N}, +, 0, <)^n$, then $k((M)) \simeq k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$.
- If $M = (G, +, 0, \emptyset)$ for a group $(G, +, 0)$, then $k((M)) \simeq k[G]$.

Let $(M, +, 0, <)$ be an ordered monoid. A subset of M is said **Noetherian** (or w.q.o) if it has no infinite antichain and no strictly decreasing infinite sequence.

The set \mathfrak{n} of Noetherian subsets of M is an ideal of $\mathcal{P}(M)$. Thus $k((M)) := k[\mathfrak{n}]$ has a natural structure of summability space.

This is a summability algebra under the expected Cauchy product

$$\forall m \in M, (a \cdot b)(m) = \sum_{m_0 + m_1 = m} a(m_0) b(m_1).$$

Examples

- If M is a totally ordered group, then $k((M))$ is a skew field (e.g. Hahn field if M is Abelian).
- If $M = (\mathbb{N}, +, 0, <)^n$, then $k((M)) \simeq k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$.
- If $M = (G, +, 0, \emptyset)$ for a group $(G, +, 0)$, then $k((M)) \simeq k[G]$.
- If $(I, <)$ is a Noetherian ordered set and $M = (I^*, :, \emptyset, <^*)$ for Higman's ordering $<^*$ on I^* , then $k((M)) = k\langle\langle I \rangle\rangle$.

Write $\mathbb{A} = k((M))$. Given $a, b \in \mathbb{A}, b \neq 0$, we write

$$a \prec b$$

if for all $m_a \in \text{supp } a$, there is an $m_b \in \text{supp } b$ with $m_a > m_b$.

Write $\mathbb{A} = k((M))$. Given $a, b \in \mathbb{A}, b \neq 0$, we write

$$a \prec b$$

if for all $m_a \in \text{supp } a$, there is an $m_b \in \text{supp } b$ with $m_a > m_b$.

A linear map $\phi : \mathbb{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is said **contracting** if $\phi(a) \prec a$ for each $a \neq 0$. We write $\text{Lin}_{\prec}^+(\mathbb{A})$ for the set of contracting strongly linear maps $\mathbb{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$.

Write $\mathbb{A} = k((M))$. Given $a, b \in \mathbb{A}, b \neq 0$, we write

$$a \prec b$$

if for all $m_a \in \text{supp } a$, there is an $m_b \in \text{supp } b$ with $m_a > m_b$.

A linear map $\phi : \mathbb{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is said **contracting** if $\phi(a) \prec a$ for each $a \neq 0$. We write $\text{Lin}_{\prec}^+(\mathbb{A})$ for the set of contracting strongly linear maps $\mathbb{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$.

Theorem B

The subalgebra $k \text{Id}_{\mathbb{A}} + \text{Lin}_{\prec}^+(\mathbb{A})$ of $\text{Lin}^+(\mathbb{A})$ has evaluations.

Write $\mathbb{A} = k((M))$. Given $a, b \in \mathbb{A}, b \neq 0$, we write

$$a \prec b$$

if for all $m_a \in \text{supp } a$, there is an $m_b \in \text{supp } b$ with $m_a > m_b$.

A linear map $\phi : \mathbb{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is said **contracting** if $\phi(a) \prec a$ for each $a \neq 0$. We write $\text{Lin}_{\prec}^+(\mathbb{A})$ for the set of contracting strongly linear maps $\mathbb{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$.

Theorem B

The subalgebra $k \text{Id}_{\mathbb{A}} + \text{Lin}_{\prec}^+(\mathbb{A})$ of $\text{Lin}^+(\mathbb{A})$ has evaluations.

Write $1\text{-Aut}_k^+(\mathbb{A})$ for the space of automorphisms σ of \mathbb{A} with $\sigma(a) - a \prec a$ for all $a \neq 0$.

Write $\mathbb{A} = k((M))$. Given $a, b \in \mathbb{A}, b \neq 0$, we write

$$a \prec b$$

if for all $m_a \in \text{supp } a$, there is an $m_b \in \text{supp } b$ with $m_a > m_b$.

A linear map $\phi : \mathbb{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is said **contracting** if $\phi(a) \prec a$ for each $a \neq 0$. We write $\text{Lin}_{\prec}^+(\mathbb{A})$ for the set of contracting strongly linear maps $\mathbb{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$.

Theorem B

The subalgebra $k \text{Id}_{\mathbb{A}} + \text{Lin}_{\prec}^+(\mathbb{A})$ of $\text{Lin}^+(\mathbb{A})$ has evaluations.

Write $1\text{-Aut}_k^+(\mathbb{A})$ for the space of automorphisms σ of \mathbb{A} with $\sigma(a) - a \prec a$ for all $a \neq 0$.

Corollary

We have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \exp : (\text{Der}^+(\mathbb{A}) \cap \text{Lin}_{\prec}^+(\mathbb{A}), *) &\longrightarrow (1\text{-Aut}_k^+(\mathbb{A}), \circ) \\ \partial &\longmapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n!} \partial^{[n]}. \end{aligned}$$

One can study properties of the group $1\text{-Aut}_k^+(\mathbb{A})$ by looking at $\text{Der}_\zeta^+(\mathbb{A})$ instead.

One can study properties of the group $1\text{-Aut}_k^+(\mathbb{A})$ by looking at $\text{Der}_\zeta^+(\mathbb{A})$ instead.

Theorem C

Let $\Phi : \text{Der}_\zeta^+(\mathbb{A}) \longrightarrow \text{Der}_\zeta^+(\mathbb{B})$ be a strongly linear Lie algebra endomorphism. There is a unique group morphism $\Psi : 1\text{-Aut}_k^+(\mathbb{A}) \longrightarrow 1\text{-Aut}_k^+(\mathbb{B})$ with $\exp \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \exp$.

One can study properties of the group $1\text{-Aut}_k^+(\mathbb{A})$ by looking at $\text{Der}_\zeta^+(\mathbb{A})$ instead.

Theorem C

Let $\Phi : \text{Der}_\zeta^+(\mathbb{A}) \longrightarrow \text{Der}_\zeta^+(\mathbb{B})$ be a strongly linear Lie algebra endomorphism. There is a unique group morphism $\Psi : 1\text{-Aut}_k^+(\mathbb{A}) \longrightarrow 1\text{-Aut}_k^+(\mathbb{B})$ with $\exp \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \exp$.

Question. The group $1\text{-Aut}_k^+(\mathbb{A})$ can be equipped with infinite ordered products in a precise sense. If $\Psi : 1\text{-Aut}_k^+(\mathbb{A}) \longrightarrow 1\text{-Aut}_k^+(\mathbb{B})$ preserves infinite products, does it induce a strongly linear Lie algebra homomorphism?



(don't look at the picture)