

## Lecture 27

# Finite-Dimensional Optimal Control Problems – Optimality Conditions

Some basic concepts in optimal control theory can be illustrated very well in the context of finite-dimensional optimization. In particular, we do not have to deal with partial differential equations and several aspects from functional analysis.

### 27.1 Finite-dimensional optimal control problem

Let us consider the minimization problem

$$\min J(y, u) \quad \text{subject to (s.t.)} \quad Ay = Bu \quad \text{and} \quad u \in U_{ad} \quad (27.1)$$

where  $J : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n_u} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denotes the cost functional,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n_u}$  and  $\emptyset \neq U_{ad} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$  is the set of admissible controls. Moreover, we set  $n = m + n_u > m$ .

We look for vectors  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$  which solve (27.1).

**Example 27.1.1.** Often the cost functional is quadratic, e.g.,

$$J(y, u) = \frac{1}{2} \|y - y_d\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|u\|_2^2,$$

where  $y_d \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$  hold. ◇

Problem (27.1) has the form of an optimization problem. Now we assume that  $A$  is an invertible matrix. Then we have

$$y = A^{-1}Bu. \quad (27.2)$$

In this case there exists a unique vector  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  for any  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$ . Hence,  $y$  is a dependent variable. We call  $u$  the control and  $y$  the state. In this way, (27.1) becomes a finite-dimensional optimal control problem.

We define the matrix  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n_u}$  by  $S = A^{-1}B$ . Then,  $S$  is the solution matrix of our control system:  $y = Su$ . Utilizing the matrix  $S$  we introduce the so-called reduced cost functional

$$\hat{J}(u) = J(Su, u).$$

This leads to the reduced problem

$$\min \hat{J}(u) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad u \in U_{ad}. \quad (27.3)$$

In (27.3) the state variable is eliminated.

### 27.2 Existence of optimal controls

**Definition 27.2.1.** The vector  $\bar{u} \in U_{ad}$  is called an *optimal control* for (27.1) provided

$$\hat{J}(\bar{u}) \leq \hat{J}(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in U_{ad}.$$

The vector  $\bar{y} = S\bar{u}$  is the associated *optimal state*.

**Theorem 27.2.2.** *Suppose that  $J$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^m \times U_{ad}$ , that  $U_{ad}$  is nonempty, bounded, closed and that  $A$  is invertible. Then, there exists at least one optimal control for (27.1).*

*Proof.* Since the cost functional  $J$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^m \times U_{ad}$ , the reduced cost  $\hat{J}$  is continuous on  $U_{ad}$ . Furthermore,  $U_{ad} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$  is bounded and closed. This implies that  $U_{ad}$  is compact. Due to the theorem of Weierstrass (cf. [11] Folgerung 10.9)]  $\hat{J}$  has a minimum  $\bar{u} \in U_{ad} \neq \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $\hat{J}(\bar{u}) = \min_{u \in U_{ad}} \hat{J}(u)$ .  $\square$

In the case of an infinite-dimensional problem the proof for the existence of optimal controls is more complicated. The reason for this fact is that bounded and closed sets in infinite-dimensional linear spaces need not to be compact.

## 27.3 First-order necessary optimality conditions

To compute solutions to optimal control problems we make use of optimality conditions. For that purpose we study first-order conditions for optimality.

We use the following notation for a function  $\hat{J} : \mathbb{R}^{n_u} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\hat{J}'(u) = \nabla \hat{J}(u)^\top \quad \text{for } u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}.$$

For the directional derivative in direction  $h \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$  we have

$$\hat{J}'(u)h = \langle \nabla \hat{J}(u), h \rangle_2 = \nabla \hat{J}(u)^\top h.$$

Throughout we assume that all partial derivatives of  $J$  exist and are continuous. From the chain rule it follows that  $\hat{J}(u) = J(Su, u)$  is continuously differentiable.

**Example 27.3.1.** Let us consider the cost functional

$$\hat{J}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|Su - y_d\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|u\|_2^2,$$

see Example 27.1.1. We obtain  $\nabla \hat{J}(u) = S^T(Su - y_d) + \lambda u$ ,  $\hat{J}'(u) = (S^T(Su - y_d) + \lambda u)^T$  and  $\hat{J}'(u)h = \langle S^T(Su - y_d) + \lambda u, h \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n_u}}$  at  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$  and for  $h \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$ .  $\diamond$

The next result is proved in [8] Theorem 22.1.2].

**Theorem 27.3.2.** *Suppose that  $\bar{u}$  is an optimal control for (27.1) and  $U_{ad}$  convex. Then the variational inequality*

$$\hat{J}'(\bar{u})(u - \bar{u}) = \nabla \hat{J}(\bar{u})^\top (u - \bar{u}) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in U_{ad} \quad (27.4)$$

holds.

It follows from Theorem 27.3.2 that at  $\bar{u}$  the cost functional  $\hat{J}$  can not decrease in any feasible direction. The proof follows from a more general result (see [30] page 63]).

From the chain rule we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{J}'(\bar{u})h &= J_y(S\bar{u}, \bar{u})Sh + J_u(S\bar{u}, \bar{u})h = \langle \nabla_y J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}), A^{-1}Bh \rangle_2 + \langle \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}), h \rangle_2 \\ &= \langle B^\top A^{-\top} \nabla_y J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}) + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}), h \rangle_2, \end{aligned} \quad (27.5)$$

where  $(A^\top)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^\top := A^{-\top}$  holds and, e.g.,  $J_y$  stands for the partial derivative of  $J$  with respect to the argument  $y$ . Thus, we derive from (27.4)

$$\langle B^\top A^{-\top} \nabla_y J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}) + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}), u - \bar{u} \rangle_2 \geq 0 \quad (27.6)$$

for all  $u \in U_{ad}$ . In the following subsection we will introduce the so-called adjoint or dual variable. Then, we can express (27.6) in a simpler way.

## 27.4 Adjoint variable and reduced gradient

In a numerical realization the computation of  $A^{-1}$  is avoided. The same holds for the matrix  $A^\top$ . Thus, we replace the term  $A^{-\top} \nabla_y J(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$  by  $\bar{p} = -A^{-\top} \nabla_y J(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$ , which is equivalent with

$$A^\top \bar{p} = -\nabla_y J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}). \quad (27.7)$$

**Definition 27.4.1.** Equation (27.7) is called the *adjoint* or *dual equation*. Its solution  $\bar{p}$  is the *adjoint* or *dual variable* associated with  $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$ .

**Example 27.4.2.** For the quadratic cost functional  $J(y, u) = (\|y - y_d\|_2^2 + \lambda \|u\|_2^2)/2$  with  $y, y_d \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$  we derive the adjoint equation

$$A^\top \bar{p} = y_d - \bar{y}.$$

Here we have used  $\nabla_y J(y, u) = y - y_d$ . ◇

The introduction of the dual variable yields two advantages:

- 1) We obtain an expression for (27.6) without the matrix  $A^{-\top}$ .
- 2) The expression (27.6) can be written in a more readable form.

Utilizing  $\bar{y} = S\bar{u}$  in (27.5) we find that

$$\nabla \hat{J}(\bar{u}) = -B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}).$$

The vector  $\nabla \hat{J}(\bar{u})$  is called the *reduced gradient*. The directional derivative of the reduced cost functional  $\hat{J}$  at an arbitrary  $u \in U_{ad}$  in direction  $h$  is given by

$$\hat{J}'(u)h = \langle -B^\top p + \nabla_u J(y, u), h \rangle_2,$$

where  $y = Su$  and  $p = -A^\top \nabla_y J(y, u)$  hold. From Theorem 27.3.2 and (27.6) we derive directly the following theorem.

**Theorem 27.4.3.** *Suppose that  $A$  is invertible,  $\bar{u}$  is an optimal control for (27.1) and  $\bar{y} = S\bar{u}$  the associated optimal state. Then, there exists a unique dual variable  $\bar{p}$  satisfying (27.7). Moreover, the variational inequality*

$$\langle -B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}), u - \bar{u} \rangle_2 \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in U_{ad} \quad (27.8)$$

holds true.

We have derived an optimality system for the unknown variables  $\bar{y}$ ,  $\bar{u}$  and  $\bar{p}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} A\bar{y} &= B\bar{u}, & \bar{u} &\in U_{ad}, \\ A^\top \bar{p} &= -\nabla_y J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}), \\ \langle -B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}), v - \bar{u} \rangle_2 &\geq 0 & \text{for all } v \in U_{ad}. \end{aligned} \quad (27.9)$$

Every solution  $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$  to (27.1) must satisfy, together with the dual variable  $\bar{p}$ , the necessary conditions (27.9).

If  $U_{ad} = \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$  holds, then the term  $u - \bar{u}$  can attain any value  $h \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$ . Therefore, the variational inequality (27.8) implies the equation

$$-B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}) = 0.$$

**Example 27.4.4.** We consider the cost functional

$$J(y, u) = \frac{1}{2} \|Cy - y_d\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|u\|_2^2$$

with  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times m}$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $y_d \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$ . Then,

$$\nabla_y J(y, u) = C^\top (Cy - y_d), \quad \nabla_u J(y, u) = \lambda u.$$

Thus, we obtain the optimality system

$$\begin{aligned} A\bar{y} &= B\bar{u}, \quad \bar{u} \in U_{ad}, \\ A^\top \bar{p} &= C^\top (y_d - C\bar{y}), \\ \langle -B^\top \bar{p} + \lambda\bar{u}, v - \bar{u} \rangle_2 &\geq 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in U_{ad}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $U_{ad} = \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$  holds, we find  $-B^\top \bar{p} + \lambda\bar{u} = 0$ . For  $\lambda > 0$  we have

$$\bar{u} = \frac{1}{\lambda} B^\top \bar{p}. \quad (27.10)$$

Inserting (27.10) into the state equation, we obtain a linear system in the state and dual variables:

$$A\bar{y} = \frac{1}{\lambda} BB^\top \bar{p}, \quad A^\top \bar{p} = C^\top (y_d - C\bar{y}).$$

If  $(\bar{y}, \bar{p})$  is computed,  $\bar{u}$  is given by (27.10). ◇

We have derived an optimality system for the unknown variables  $\bar{y}$ ,  $\bar{u}$  and  $\bar{p}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} A\bar{y} &= B\bar{u}, \quad A^\top \bar{p} = -\nabla_y J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}), \quad \bar{u} \in U_{ad}, \\ \langle -B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}), v - \bar{u} \rangle_2 &\geq 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in U_{ad}. \end{aligned} \quad (27.11)$$

Every solution  $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$  to (27.1) must satisfy, together with the dual variable  $\bar{p}$ , the necessary conditions (27.11).

## Lecture 28

# Finite-Dimensional Optimal Control Problems – KKT System

### 28.1 The Lagrange function

We have derived an optimality system for the unknown variables  $\bar{y}$ ,  $\bar{u}$  and  $\bar{p}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} A\bar{y} &= B\bar{u}, & A^\top \bar{p} &= -\nabla_y J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}), & \bar{u} &\in U_{ad}, \\ \langle -B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}), v - \bar{u} \rangle_2 &\geq 0 & \text{for all } v &\in U_{ad}. \end{aligned} \quad (28.1)$$

Every solution  $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$  to (27.1) must satisfy, together with the dual variable  $\bar{p}$ , the necessary conditions (27.9).

If  $U_{ad} = \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$  holds, then the term  $u - \bar{u}$  can attain any value  $h \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$ . Therefore, the variational inequality (27.8) implies the equation

$$-B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}) = 0.$$

**Example 28.1.1.** We consider the cost functional

$$J(y, u) = \frac{1}{2} \|Cy - y_d\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|u\|_2^2$$

with  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times m}$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $y_d \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$ . Then,

$$\nabla_y J(y, u) = C^\top (Cy - y_d), \quad \nabla_u J(y, u) = \lambda u.$$

Thus, we obtain the optimality system

$$\begin{aligned} A\bar{y} &= B\bar{u}, & \bar{u} &\in U_{ad}, \\ A^\top \bar{p} &= C^\top (y_d - C\bar{y}), \\ \langle -B^\top \bar{p} + \lambda \bar{u}, v - \bar{u} \rangle_2 &\geq 0 & \text{for all } v &\in U_{ad}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $U_{ad} = \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$  holds, we find  $-B^\top \bar{p} + \lambda \bar{u} = 0$ . For  $\lambda > 0$  we have

$$\bar{u} = \frac{1}{\lambda} B^\top \bar{p}. \quad (28.2)$$

Inserting (28.2) into the state equation, we obtain a linear system in the state and dual variables:

$$A\bar{y} = \frac{1}{\lambda} BB^\top \bar{p}, \quad A^\top \bar{p} = C^\top (y_d - C\bar{y}).$$

If  $(\bar{y}, \bar{p})$  is computed,  $\bar{u}$  is given by (28.2). ◇

The optimality condition can be expressed by utilizing the Lagrange function  $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^{2m+n_u} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}(y, u, p) = J(y, u) + \langle Ay - Bu, p \rangle_2, \quad (y, u, p) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n_u} \times \mathbb{R}^m.$$

It follows that the second and third conditions of (27.11) can be expressed as

$$\nabla_y \mathcal{L}(\bar{y}, \bar{u}, \bar{p}) = 0, \quad \langle \nabla_u \mathcal{L}(\bar{y}, \bar{u}, \bar{p}), u - \bar{u} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n_u}} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in U_{ad}.$$

**Remark 28.1.2.** The adjoint equation (27.7) is equivalent to  $\nabla_y \mathcal{L}(\bar{y}, \bar{u}, \bar{p}) = 0$ . Thus, (27.7) can be derived from the derivative of the Lagrange functional with respect to the state variable  $y$ . Analogously, the variational inequality follows from the gradient  $\nabla_u \mathcal{L}(\bar{y}, \bar{u}, \bar{p})$ .  $\diamond$

It follows from Remark 28.1.2 that  $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$  satisfies the necessary optimality conditions of the minimization problem

$$\min \mathcal{L}(y, u, \bar{p}) \quad \text{s.t. } (y, u) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times U_{ad}. \quad (28.3)$$

Notice that (28.3) has no equality constraints (in contrast to (27.1)). In most applications  $\bar{p}$  is not known a-priori. Thus,  $(\bar{y}, \bar{u})$  can not be computed from (28.3).

## 28.2 Discussion of the variational inequality

In many applications the set of admissible controls has the form

$$U_{ad} = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u} \mid u_a \leq u \leq u_b\}, \quad (28.4)$$

where  $u_a \leq u_b$  are given vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{n_u}$  and “ $\leq$ ” means less or equal in each component:  $u_{a,i} \leq u_i \leq u_{b,i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n_u$ . From (27.8) it follows that

$$\langle -B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}), \bar{u} \rangle_2 \leq \langle -B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}), u \rangle_2$$

for all  $u \in U_{ad}$ . This implies that  $\bar{u}$  solves the minimization problem

$$\min_{u \in U_{ad}} \langle -B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}), u \rangle_2 = \min_{u \in U_{ad}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_u} (-B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}))_i u_i.$$

If  $U_{ad}$  is of the form (28.4), then the minimization of a component  $u_i$  is independent of  $u_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ :

$$(-B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}))_i \bar{u}_i = \min_{u_{a,i} \leq u_i \leq u_{b,i}} (-B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}))_i u_i$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n_u$ . Thus,

$$\bar{u}_i = \begin{cases} u_{b,i} & \text{if } (-B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}))_i < 0, \\ u_{a,i} & \text{if } (-B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}))_i > 0. \end{cases} \quad (28.5)$$

If  $(-B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}))_i = 0$  holds, we have no information from the variational inequality. In many cases we can use the equation  $(-B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}))_i = 0$  to obtain an explicit equation for one of the components of  $\bar{u}$ .

## 28.3 The Karush–Kuhn–Tucker system

Define the two vectors

$$\mu_a = [-B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u})]_+, \quad \mu_b = [-B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u})]_-, \quad (28.6)$$

where  $\mu_{a,i} = (-B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}))_i$  if the right-hand side is positive and  $\mu_{a,i} = 0$  otherwise. Analogously,  $\mu_{b,i} = |(-B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}))_i|$  if the right-hand side is negative and  $\mu_{b,i} = 0$  otherwise. Utilizing (28.5) we have

$$\mu_a \geq 0, \quad u_a - \bar{u} \leq 0, \quad \langle u_a - \bar{u}, \mu_a \rangle_2 = 0 \quad \mu_b \geq 0, \quad \bar{u} - u_b \leq 0, \quad \langle \bar{u} - u_b, \mu_b \rangle_2 = 0.$$

These conditions are called *complementarity conditions*. The inequalities are clear. We prove  $\langle u_a - \bar{u}, \mu_a \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n_u}} = 0$ . Suppose that  $u_{a,i} < \bar{u}_i$  holds. Due to (28.5) we have  $(-B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}))_i \leq 0$ . Thus,  $\mu_{a,i} = 0$  which gives  $(u_{a,i} - \bar{u}_i) \mu_{a,i} = 0$ . Now we assume  $\mu_{a,i} > 0$ . Using (28.6) we derive  $(-B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}))_i > 0$ . It follows from (28.5) that  $u_{a,i} = \bar{u}_i$  holds. Again, we have  $(u_{a,i} - \bar{u}_i) \mu_{a,i} = 0$ . Summation over  $i = 1, \dots, m$  yields  $\langle u_a - \bar{u}, \mu_a \rangle_2 = 0$ .

Notice that

$$\mu_a - \mu_b = -B^\top \bar{p} + \nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}).$$

Hence,

$$\nabla_u J(\bar{y}, \bar{u}) - B^\top \bar{p} + \mu_b - \mu_a = 0. \quad (28.7)$$

Let us consider an augmented Lagrange functional

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(y, u, p, \mu_a, \mu_b) = J(y, u) + \langle Ay - Bu, p \rangle_2 + \langle u_a - u, \mu_a \rangle_2 + \langle u - u_b, \mu_b \rangle_2$$

Then, (28.7) can be written as

$$\nabla_u \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\bar{y}, \bar{u}, \bar{p}, \mu_a, \mu_b) = 0.$$

Moreover, the adjoint equation is equivalent with

$$\nabla_y \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\bar{y}, \bar{u}, \bar{p}, \mu_a, \mu_b) = 0.$$

Here, we have used that  $\nabla_y \mathcal{L} = \nabla_y \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ . The vectors  $\mu_a$  and  $\mu_b$  are the Lagrange multipliers for the inequality constraints  $u_a - \bar{u} \leq 0$  and  $\bar{u} - u_b \leq 0$ .

**Theorem 28.3.1.** *Suppose that  $\bar{u}$  is an optimal control for (27.1),  $A$  is invertible and  $U_{ad}$  has the form (28.4). Then, there exist Lagrange multipliers  $\bar{p} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\mu_a, \mu_b \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$  satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_y \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\bar{y}, \bar{u}, \bar{p}, \mu_a, \mu_b) = 0, \quad \nabla_u \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\bar{y}, \bar{u}, \bar{p}, \mu_a, \mu_b) = 0, \quad A\bar{y} = B\bar{u}, \\ u_a - u \leq 0, \quad \mu_a \geq 0, \quad u - u_b \leq 0, \quad \mu_b \geq 0, \quad \langle u_a - \bar{u}, \mu_a \rangle_2 = \langle \bar{u} - u_b, \mu_b \rangle_2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (28.8)$$

The optimality system (28.8) is called the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) system.

